

A Perturbative Construction of Lattice Chiral Fermions *

W. Bietenholz and U.-J. Wiese

Center for Theoretical Physics,
Laboratory for Nuclear Science, and Department of Physics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT)
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, U.S.A.

MIT Preprint, CTP 2423

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We perform a renormalization group transformation to construct a lattice theory of chiral fermions. The field variables of the continuum theory are averaged over hypercubes to define lattice fields. Integrating out the continuum variables in perturbation theory we derive a chirally invariant effective action for the lattice fields. This is consistent with the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem because the effective action is nonlocal. We also construct the axial current on the lattice and we show that the axial anomaly of the continuum theory is reproduced in the Schwinger model. This shows that chiral fermions can be regularized on the lattice.

*This work is supported in part by funds provided by the U.S. Department of Energy (D.O.E.) under cooperative research agreement DE-FC02-94ER40818.

It is a long-standing problem to construct a lattice regularization of chiral gauge theories such as the Standard model. Naive discretization of the continuum action leads to a multiplication of fermion species — known as the fermion doubling problem. To remove the doubler fermions Wilson has introduced a chiral symmetry breaking term [1]. In a chiral gauge theory this term is problematic because it breaks gauge invariance. Moreover, the Nielsen-Ninomiya theorem [2] excludes a chirally invariant solution of the doubling problem assuming hermiticity, locality, and lattice translation invariance of the fermionic action. An early proposal to circumvent the doubling problem was made by the SLAC group using a nonlocal action [3]. That nonlocality manifests itself in a finite discontinuity of the inverse fermion propagator in momentum space. However, Karsten and Smit demonstrated that proposals of this kind fail to reproduce Lorentz invariance in the continuum limit [4]. In a refined proposal Rebbi introduced nonlocality by poles instead of finite discontinuities [5]. However, as soon as gauge interactions are switched on the doublers return as spurious ghost states [6, 7]. In particular, they cancel the anomaly of the original fermion and render the theory vector-like. Currently discussed proposals to solve the doubling problem include domain wall fermions [8], gauge fixing approaches [9], Pauli-Villars/SLAC fermions [10], as well as continuum fermions coupled to an interpolated lattice gauge field [11].

Here we construct a manifestly gauge invariant lattice theory of chiral fermions using renormalization group concepts. Iterating renormalization group transformations a recent study found a line of fixed points for free Wilson fermions [12]. The fixed point action at the line's endpoint is nonlocal and corresponds to a theory of free chiral fermions. In contrast to SLAC and Rebbi fermions here the nonlocality arises naturally by integrating out the high momentum modes of the fermion field. As for Rebbi fermions the nonlocality manifests itself by poles in the inverse propagator. We investigate the vicinity of the nonlocal fixed point by switching on a small gauge coupling. Using perturbation theory we construct the action as well as the axial current, and we demonstrate that the correct axial anomaly is reproduced in the Schwinger model. We add a few remarks on possible nonperturbative implications of our results.

First we rederive the nonlocal free fixed point action by blocking directly out of the continuum. For this purpose we average the continuum fermion fields $\bar{\psi}$, ψ over unit hypercubes c_x centered at x to define lattice variables

$$\Psi_x = \int_{c_x} d^d y \psi(y), \quad \bar{\Psi}_x = \int_{c_x} d^d y \bar{\psi}(y). \quad (1)$$

This is equivalent to a lattice renormalization group transformation with a blocking factor that goes to infinity. The Euclidean effective action $S[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi]$ for the lattice variables is obtained by integrating out the continuum fields

$$\exp(-S[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi]) = \int \mathcal{D}\bar{\psi} \mathcal{D}\psi \exp(-s[\bar{\psi}, \psi]) \prod_x \delta(\bar{\Psi}_x - \int_{c_x} d^d y \bar{\psi}(y)) \delta(\Psi_x - \int_{c_x} d^d y \psi(y)), \quad (2)$$

where $s[\bar{\psi}, \psi]$ is the free massless fermion action in the continuum. Eq.(2) represents a chirally covariant renormalization group transformation. In momentum space the lattice field is given by

$$\Psi(p) = \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \Pi(p + 2\pi l) \psi(p + 2\pi l), \quad \Pi(p) = \prod_{\mu=1}^d \frac{\hat{p}_\mu}{p_\mu}, \quad \hat{p}_\mu = 2 \sin(p_\mu/2), \quad (3)$$

and analogously for $\bar{\Psi}$. This leads to the effective action

$$\begin{aligned} S[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi] &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_B d^d p \bar{\Psi}(-p) \Delta^f(p)^{-1} \Psi(p), \\ \Delta^f(p) &= \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^d} [i\gamma_\mu(p_\mu + 2\pi l_\mu)]^{-1} \Pi^2(p + 2\pi l), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $B =]-\pi, \pi]^d$ is the Brillouin zone and Δ^f is the lattice fermion propagator. The technique of blocking out of the continuum was first used by Wilson [13] and applied to fermions by Mack's students Cronjäger and Mai [14]. It proves to be extremely useful for our purposes.

Similarly, we consider an Abelian gauge field a_μ in the continuum and construct from it a noncompact lattice gauge field

$$A_{\mu,x} = \int_{c_x - \hat{\mu}/2} d^d y (1 + y_\mu - x_\mu) a_\mu(y) + \int_{c_x + \hat{\mu}/2} d^d y (1 - y_\mu + x_\mu) a_\mu(y), \quad (5)$$

where x now refers to the center of a link, i.e. x_μ is a half-odd integer. This expression is gauge covariant, i.e. a gauge transformation $a'_\mu = a_\mu + \partial_\mu \varphi$ in the continuum induces a lattice gauge transformation $A'_{\mu,x} = A_{\mu,x} + \Phi_{x+\hat{\mu}/2} - \Phi_{x-\hat{\mu}/2}$ where $\Phi_x = \int_{c_x} d^d y \varphi(y)$. In momentum space this implies

$$A_\mu(p) = \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \Pi_\mu(p + 2\pi l) (-1)^{l_\mu} a_\mu(p + 2\pi l), \quad \Pi_\mu(p) = \frac{\hat{p}_\mu}{p_\mu} \Pi(p). \quad (6)$$

Note that $A_\mu(p)$ is antiperiodic over the Brillouin zone in the μ -direction. Integrating out the continuum gauge field we obtain the effective action

$$\begin{aligned} S[A] &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_B d^d p A_\mu(-p) \Delta_{\mu\nu}^g(p)^{-1} A_\nu(p), \\ \Delta_{\mu\nu}^g(p)^{-1} &= \omega_\mu(p) \delta_{\mu\nu} - \frac{\hat{p}_\mu \omega_\mu(p) \omega_\nu(p) \hat{p}_\nu}{\sum_\rho \hat{p}_\rho^2 \omega_\rho(p)}, \\ \omega_\mu(p)^{-1} &= \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^d} (p + 2\pi l)^{-2} \Pi_\mu^2(p + 2\pi l). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where Δ^g is the gauge field propagator.

Having treated free fermions and gauge fields we now switch on a small gauge coupling e in perturbation theory. The block renormalization properties of lattice fermions in an external gauge fields have also been investigated by Balaban,

O'Carroll, and Schor [15]. To leading order in e the renormalization group transformation (3) generalizes to

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi(p) &= \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \Pi(p + 2\pi l) \psi(p + 2\pi l) \\ &+ e \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int d^d q K_\mu(p + 2\pi l, q + 2\pi l) a_\mu(p - q) \psi(q + 2\pi l).\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

Here $K_\mu(p, q)$ is a regular kernel that specifies the renormalization group transformation. Gauge covariance imposes the constraint $(p_\mu - q_\mu)K_\mu(p, q) = \Pi(p - q)\Pi(q) - \Pi(p)$. Again we integrate out the continuum fields and to order e we obtain an interaction term

$$\begin{aligned}S[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi, A] &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2d}} \int_{B^2} d^d p d^d q \bar{\Psi}(-p) e V_\mu(p, q) A_\mu(p - q) \Psi(q), \\ V_\mu(p, q) &= \Delta^f(p)^{-1} \Delta_{\mu\nu}^g(p - q)^{-1} \sum_{l, m \in \mathbf{Z}^d} \frac{\Pi_\nu(p + 2\pi l - q - 2\pi m)}{(p + 2\pi l - q - 2\pi m)^2} (-1)^{l_\nu + m_\nu} \\ &\times \left\{ K_\nu(p + 2\pi l, q + 2\pi m) [i\gamma_\sigma(q_\sigma + 2\pi m_\sigma)]^{-1} \Pi(q + 2\pi m) \right. \\ &- K_\nu(-q - 2\pi m, -p - 2\pi l) [i\gamma_\rho(p_\rho + 2\pi l_\rho)]^{-1} \Pi(p + 2\pi l) \\ &- [i\gamma_\rho(p_\rho + 2\pi l_\rho)]^{-1} i\gamma_\mu [i\gamma_\sigma(q_\sigma + 2\pi m_\sigma)]^{-1} \Pi(p + 2\pi l) \Pi(q + 2\pi m) \left. \right\} \\ &\times \Delta^f(q)^{-1} + \frac{(\widehat{p - q})_\mu \omega_\mu(p - q)}{\sum_\rho (\widehat{p - q})_\rho \omega_\rho(p - q)} [\Delta^f(q)^{-1} - \Delta^f(p)^{-1}].\end{aligned}\quad (9)$$

The total effective action $S[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi] + S[A] + S[\bar{\Psi}, \Psi, A]$ is gauge invariant to order e because the vertex function V_μ obeys the Ward identity

$$(\widehat{p - q})_\mu V_\mu(p, q) = \Delta^f(q)^{-1} - \Delta^f(p)^{-1}.\quad (10)$$

Due to the chiral covariance of the renormalization group transformation the effective action is chirally invariant. In contrast to the continuum the lattice fermionic measure is also chirally invariant. Hence one can formally construct a conserved axial Noether current $\tilde{J}_\mu^5$ and argue that the anomaly of the continuum theory disappears on the lattice. In fact, assuming a general form for Δ^f and V_μ , Pelissetto showed that $\langle \hat{p}_\mu \tilde{J}_\mu^5(p) \rangle_A = 0$ in an arbitrary background lattice gauge field A , and he concluded that the anomaly is cancelled by spurious ghost states [7]. However, like all objects involved, the axial current $\tilde{J}_\mu^5$ is nonlocal and there is no evidence for it being related to the local current $j_\mu^5(p) = (2\pi)^{-d} \int d^d q \bar{\psi}(p - q) \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 \psi(q)$ of the continuum theory. The latter has the well-known anomaly $\langle p_\mu j_\mu^5(p) \rangle_a = (e/\pi) \epsilon_{\mu\nu} p_\mu a_\nu(p)$ with the topological charge density on the right-hand side. In fact, even in the continuum one can formally construct a gauge invariant axial current

$$\tilde{j}_\mu^5(p) = j_\mu^5(p) - \frac{e}{\pi} \epsilon_{\mu\nu} [a_\nu(p) - p_\nu p_\rho a_\rho(p)/p^2]\quad (11)$$

which is anomaly free but nonlocal. Hence one must decide carefully what current to consider on the lattice. We will now construct a lattice axial current that is related to the continuum current j_μ^5 by the renormalization group transformation. In fact, it turns out that this current has the correct anomaly of the continuum theory.

We construct the lattice axial current

$$J_{\mu,x}^5 = \int_{f_{\mu,x}} d^{d-1}y j_\mu^5(y) \quad (12)$$

by integrating the flux of the continuum current through the boundary $f_{\mu,x}$ between two adjacent hypercubes $c_{x-\hat{\mu}/2}$ and $c_{x+\hat{\mu}/2}$. Then the lattice divergence of the axial current is equal to the continuum divergence integrated over the hypercube c_x , i.e.

$$\delta J_x^5 = \sum_\mu (J_{\mu,x+\hat{\mu}/2}^5 - J_{\mu,x-\hat{\mu}/2}^5) = \int_{c_x} d^d y \partial_\mu j_\mu^5(y). \quad (13)$$

In momentum space the current takes the form

$$J_\mu^5(p) = \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^d} j_\mu^5(p + 2\pi l) \Pi_{-\mu}(p + 2\pi l) (-1)^{l_\mu}, \quad \Pi_{-\mu}(p) = \frac{p_\mu}{\hat{p}_\mu} \Pi(p). \quad (14)$$

We couple the current J_μ^5 to an external lattice axial current J_μ^e by adding a term $(2\pi)^{-d} \int_B d^d p J_\mu^e(-p) J_\mu^5(p)$ to the continuum action and again we integrate out the continuum fields. Taking a functional derivative of the effective action with respect to $J_\mu^e(-p)$ one identifies

$$\begin{aligned} J_\mu^5(p) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_B d^d q \bar{\Psi}(p-q) \Delta^f(p-q)^{-1} \\ &\times \sum_{l,m \in \mathbf{Z}^d} [i\gamma_\nu(p_\nu + 2\pi l_\nu - q_\nu - 2\pi m_\nu)]^{-1} \gamma_\mu \gamma_5 [i\gamma_\rho(q_\rho + 2\pi m_\rho)]^{-1} \Delta^f(q)^{-1} \Psi(q) \\ &\times \Pi(p + 2\pi l - q - 2\pi m) \Pi(q + 2\pi m) \Pi_{-\mu}(p + 2\pi l) (-1)^{l_\mu} + O(e). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The $O(e)$ term is essential but for reasons of space it will be displayed elsewhere [16].

To show that the current has the correct anomaly we now turn to the Schwinger model. We compute $\langle J_\mu^5(p) \rangle_A$ by integrating out the lattice fermions. Using eq.(14) one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_\mu^5(p) \rangle_A &= \int \mathcal{D}a \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \langle j_\mu^5(p + 2\pi l) \rangle_a \Pi_{-\mu}(p + 2\pi l) (-1)^{l_\mu} \exp(-s[a]) \times \\ &\int \mathcal{D}D \exp\left\{ \frac{i}{(2\pi)^2} \int_B d^2 q D_\nu(-q) [A_\nu(q) - \sum_{m \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \Pi_\nu(q + 2\pi m) (-1)^{m_\nu} a_\nu(q + 2\pi m)] \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $s[a]$ is the free gauge field action in the continuum, and D is an auxiliary lattice gauge field that implements eq.(6) as a constraint. Using e.g. dimensional regularization we reproduce the known continuum result $\langle j_\mu^5(p) \rangle_a = (e/\pi)(p_\mu/p^2)\epsilon_{\nu\rho}p_\nu a_\rho(p)$. Integrating out the continuum gauge field we then find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J_\mu^5(p) \rangle_A &= \frac{e}{\pi} \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \frac{p_\mu + 2\pi l_\mu}{(p + 2\pi l)^2} \epsilon_{\nu\rho} \frac{p_\nu + 2\pi l_\nu}{(p + 2\pi l)^2} \\ &\times \Pi_{-\mu}(p + 2\pi l) (-1)^{l_\mu + l_\rho} \Pi_\rho(p + 2\pi l) \Delta_{\rho\sigma}^g(p)^{-1} A_\sigma(p). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Taking the lattice divergence of the axial current and using $\hat{p}_\mu \Pi_{-\mu}(p + 2\pi l) (-1)^{l_\mu} = (p_\mu + 2\pi l_\mu) \Pi(p + 2\pi l)$ one obtains

$$\langle \hat{p}_\mu J_\mu^5(p) \rangle_A = \frac{e}{\pi} \sum_{l \in \mathbf{Z}^2} \epsilon_{\nu\rho} \frac{p_\nu + 2\pi l_\nu}{(p + 2\pi l)^2} \Pi(p + 2\pi l) (-1)^{l_\rho} \Pi_\rho(p + 2\pi l) \Delta_{\rho\sigma}^g(p)^{-1} A_\sigma(p). \quad (18)$$

Now we construct the lattice topological charge density

$$Q_x = \frac{e}{\pi} \int_{c_x} d^2 y \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu a_\nu(y). \quad (19)$$

Treating the charge like the current one verifies that the right-hand side of eq.(18) coincides with the topological charge density. In coordinate space this implies $\langle \delta J_x^5 \rangle_A = e Q_x$ which is exactly the anomaly equation on the lattice.

At this point we have shown that a lattice regularization of chiral fermions is possible. In particular, neutrinos can exist on the lattice. In this respect the lattice is as good as any other regularization scheme. Although our calculation was limited to first order perturbation theory, by construction it can be extended to all orders. In fact, it should be possible to generalize Reisz' lattice power counting theorems [17] such that they apply to our fermions. Still, it is not completely clear if our construction can provide a nonperturbative definition of chiral gauge theories. As it stands our action is gauge invariant up to $O(e)$. Before using it in a numerical simulation it should be made gauge invariant to all orders by hand. This is straightforward. But when one uses this action in a simulation at large e we cannot exclude that the chiral properties of the fermions are affected. Still, when the gauge couplings are weak — as they are in the Standard model — it should be possible to use our action even though other couplings (as the Yukawa coupling of the top quark) are strong.

Since we started from a perturbatively renormalizable theory in the continuum we implicitly assumed that all gauge anomalies have been cancelled. Once we are on the lattice it seems that one could change the fermion content by hand and make the theory anomalous. However, by doing so one loses contact with the underlying continuum theory and it is not clear if the resulting lattice theory makes sense.

We emphasize that our lattice theory is obtained naturally by integrating out the high momentum modes of the fields. Hence it contains the same physics as the

continuum theory we started with. Since our action is nonlocal it remains to be seen if standard numerical techniques can be applied. We like to point out that the action is perfect in the sense of Hasenfratz and Niedermayer [18], i.e. there are no cut-off artifacts, at least to order e . Hence it may be sufficient to work on small lattices. Of course, one could also use the action in vector-like theories like QCD. However, there are also local perfect actions that are more practical [12]. Although they break chiral symmetry the breaking has no effect on the spectrum. In fact, as a by-product of our investigation we have obtained the perfect action for a massive fermion coupled to a gauge field to $O(e)$ [16].

We thank P. Hasenfratz and F. Niedermayer for interesting discussions about the lattice renormalization group. We are also grateful to T. Kalkreuter and A. Kronfeld for bringing to our attention the references [14] and [13], respectively.

References

- [1] K. G. Wilson, Phys. Rev. D10 (1974) 2445.
- [2] H. B. Nielsen and M. Ninomiya, Nucl. Phys. B185 (1981) 20.
- [3] S. D. Drell, M. Weinstein, and S. Jankielowicz, Phys. Rev. D14 (1976) 487, 1627.
- [4] L. H. Karsten and J. Smit, Nucl. Phys. B144 (1978) 536; Phys. Lett. B85 (1979) 100.
- [5] C. Rebbi, Phys. Lett. B186 (1987) 200.
- [6] G. T. Bodwin and E. V. Kovacs, Phys. Lett. B193 (1987) 283; Phys. Rev. D35 (1987) 3198;
M. Campostrini, G. Curci, and A. Pelissetto, Phys. Lett. B193 (1987) 273.
- [7] A. Pelissetto, Ann. Phys. 182 (1988) 177.
- [8] D. Kaplan, Phys. Lett. B288 (1992) 342.
- [9] A. Borelli, L. Maiani, G. C. Rossi, R. Sisto, and M. Testa, Nucl. Phys. B333 (1990) 335;
J. L. Alonso, P. Boucaud, J. L. Cort  z, and E. Rivas, Phys. Lett. A5 (1990) 275.
- [10] S. A. Frolov and A. A. Slavnov, Phys. Lett. B309 (1993) 344; Nucl. Phys. B411 (1994) 647.
- [11] M. G  ckeler and G. Schierholz, preprints HLRZ-92-33 (hep-lat 9207012); HLRZ-92-81 (hep-lat 9211030);
G. 't Hooft, preprint THU-94/18 (hep-th 9411228).

- [12] U.-J. Wiese, Phys. Lett. B315 (1993) 417.
- [13] K. G. Wilson, in New Pathways in High Energy Physics II, ed. A. Perlmutter (Plenum, New York 1976), 243.
- [14] S. Cronjäger, Blockspintransformationen für masselose Fermionen, diploma thesis, Hamburg University (1985);
G. Mai, Ein Blockspin für $2^{d/2}$ Fermionen, diploma thesis, Hamburg University (1989).
- [15] T. Balaban, M. O'Carroll, and R. Schor, J. Math. Phys. 32 (1991) 3199.
- [16] W. Bietenholz and U.-J. Wiese, in preparation.
- [17] T. Reisz, Commun. Math. Phys. 116 (1988) 81, 573; 117 (1988) 79, 639.
- [18] P. Hasenfratz and F. Niedermayer, Nucl. Phys. B414 (1994) 785.